

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB201)

Received: **5/16/2013** Received By: **pgrant**
Wanted: **As time permits** Same as LRB:
For: **Dianne Hesselbein (608) 266-5340** By/Representing: **Sue Meinholz**
May Contact: Drafter: **pgrant**
Subject: **Higher Education - UW System** Addl. Drafters:
Extra Copies: **MDK**

Submit via email: **YES**
Requester's email: **Rep.Hesselbein@legis.wisconsin.gov**
Carbon copy (CC) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Priority in registration for veterans and military personnel

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	pgrant 5/17/2013	scalvin 5/17/2013	jmurphy 5/20/2013	_____			
/1				_____	lparisi 5/20/2013	lparisi 5/20/2013	

FE Sent For:

<END>

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB201)

Received: 5/16/2013 Received By: pgrant
 Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB:
 For: Dianne Hesselbein (608) 266-5340 By/Representing: Sue Meinholz
 May Contact: Drafter: pgrant
 Subject: Higher Education - UW System Addl. Drafters:
 Extra Copies: MDK

Submit via email: YES
 Requester's email: Rep.Hesselbein@legis.wisconsin.gov
 Carbon copy (CC) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Priority in registration for veterans, spouses, and children

and military personnel

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	pgrant	1 SAC 05/17/2013	Jm 5/17				

FE Sent For:

<END>

Grant, Peter

From: Meinholz, Susan
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2013 2:31 PM
To: Grant, Peter
Subject: changes to AB 201

Since we talked, I got some input from the UW Madison liaison as well, so hopefully this info is clear to you. The final addition (consistent with the...population) is i.e. Tennessee. I don't know if that i.e. is of any help in trying to understand what they are getting at? Are we better off to create a Substitute amendment?

Of course, call if you have any questions or need clarification:

This bill directs the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin (UW) System to ensure that ~~resident~~ admitted students who are U.S. military veterans, or current members of the U.S. military, or spouses or children of veterans receiving federal or state tuition remission benefits are given priority in registering for courses at any UW campus.

VETERANS AND MILITARY; PRIORITY REGISTRATION. (a) In this subsection, "veteran" means a person who has served, or is currently serving, on active duty under honorable conditions in the U.S. armed forces, in forces incorporated as part of the U.S. armed forces, in the national guard, or in a reserve component of the U.S. armed forces.

(b) The board shall ensure that ~~a resident~~ an admitted student who is a U.S. military veteran, or current members of the U.S. military, or spouse or child of veterans receiving federal or state tuition remission benefits, is given priority in registering for courses at any college campus or institution, consistent with the institutional practices for other priority registration population.

Section 2...

Grant, Peter

From: Meinholz, Susan
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 3:19 PM
To: Grant, Peter
Subject: RE: changes to AB 201

Good. Thank you for your patience.

From: Grant, Peter
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 3:03 PM
To: Meinholz, Susan
Subject: RE: changes to AB 201

Only change I would suggest is deleting the word "admitted" (if they're students, they've been admitted). Elsewhere in the UW chapter, we do not refer to admitted students; we just call them students. Okay?

From: Meinholz, Susan
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 2:52 PM
To: Grant, Peter
Subject: RE: changes to AB 201

Peter:

How's this:

This bill directs the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin (UW) System to ensure that ~~resident~~ admitted students who are U.S. military veterans, or current members of the U.S. military are given priority in registering for courses at any UW campus.

VETERANS AND MILITARY; PRIORITY REGISTRATION. (a) In this subsection, "veteran" means a person who has served, or is currently serving, on active duty under honorable conditions in the U.S. armed forces, in forces incorporated as part of the U.S. armed forces, in the national guard, or in a reserve component of the U.S. armed forces.

(b) The board shall ensure that ~~a resident~~ an admitted student who is a U.S. military veteran, or current members of the U.S. military, is given priority in registering for courses at any college campus or institution.

Section 2...

From: Grant, Peter
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 1:42 PM
To: Meinholz, Susan
Subject: RE: changes to AB 201

Okay, so if we are eliminating spouses and children, we're just adding current service members and those persons who are receiving tuition remissions under federal law? Or are we just adding current service members?

From: Meinholz, Susan
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 1:39 PM

To: Grant, Peter
Subject: RE: changes to AB 201

Thanks. I'll check with my boss and let you know. She is good about not including spouses and children language.

From: Grant, Peter
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 12:38 PM
To: Meinholz, Susan
Subject: RE: changes to AB 201

I have to disagree about the effect of not including the "consistent" language, for two reasons. First, nothing in the sub requires an institution to give veterans preference over other priority registrants. It is completely open-ended, leaving it up to the board of regents (or individual institutions, if the board chooses to allow each institution to have its own policy) to decide. It could give them preference, or it could give other groups preference.

Second, because no law or administrative rule currently requires an institution to give priority to any group, the board of regents (or an individual institution, if that's who determines the policy) could unilaterally eliminate all priority registrations. The institution could not, however, eliminate the priority given to veterans as a result of this law. The law would then require that priority be given to veterans *consistent with the institutional practices for other priority registrants*, but there wouldn't be any other priority registrants. The board or the institution could reasonably interpret that to mean that the institution could not give priority in registration to veterans.

From: Meinholz, Susan
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 11:20 AM
To: Grant, Peter
Subject: RE: changes to AB 201

I just had a meeting with UW system and Madison campus staff, including a representative of the registrar's office. He explained that without that "...consistent with..." language, the legislation could be interpreted to mean the veterans get preference OVER those right now on a preference list. That is not our intention. We want them to be on the same plane as the others on the priority list. With that wording, they believe they are more clearly instructed of the intent.

I have to talk with Rep. Hesselbein, but believe at this point we would go WITHOUT spouses and children. I will confirm this with you when I speak to her, hopefully in the next couple of hours.

From: Grant, Peter
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2013 3:36 PM
To: Meinholz, Susan
Subject: RE: changes to AB 201

Yes, to a certain extent. I don't think you need the "consistent with..." phrase. Once the bill is passed, this classification will *have* to be added to their current priority lists. None of the other categories of students that are on the list are required to be there by law, so they could be dropped from the list at any time. If they are, what would the phrase mean? I think it's better to omit it.

Regarding the federal citations, I'll speak to Don Nelson.

Thanks again.

From: Meinholz, Susan
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2013 3:30 PM
To: Grant, Peter
Subject: RE: changes to AB 201

1. Sub is good.
2. As for the spouses and children, apparently the UW system uses the vague wording "federal and state tuition remissions" because each branch of military has their own citation. They then know to refer to that particular branch when needing to clarify on their own. Don Nelson will be happy to clear this up further if you need more info. His number is 265-4105.
3. The other question about "consistent with..." is to allow the different colleges/universities to add this classification of student to their current priority registration lists in place. (For example, they may have disabled students, honor students, athletes, UW Stout has a 3 year degree program in which those students qualify for priority registration, etc.)

This clear up the questions?

From: Grant, Peter
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2013 3:11 PM
To: Meinholz, Susan
Subject: RE: changes to AB 201

Hi Sue,

I think this might be better off as a sub. Okay?

There is one anomaly that I mentioned on the phone. Under the sub, a veteran will be eligible for priority in registering for courses regardless of whether he or she is a resident. But only spouses and children who are residents are currently eligible for the tuition remissions, so only spouses and children who are residents will be eligible for priority in registering for courses. Is that okay?

I had thought that only spouses and children receiving fee remissions under 36.27 (3n) would be eligible for priority in registration. But your email includes spouses and children receiving *federal* tuition remission benefits. Can you tell me the federal law citation for those benefits?

Can you let me know what "...consistent with the institutional practices for other priority registration populations" is intended to do?

Thanks —

Peter

From: Meinholz, Susan
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2013 2:31 PM
To: Grant, Peter
Subject: changes to AB 201

Since we talked, I got some input from the UW Madison liaison as well, so hopefully this info is clear to you. The final addition (consistent with the...population) is i.e. Tennessee. I don't know if that i.e. is of any help in trying to understand what they are getting at? Are we better off to create a Substitute amendment?

Of course, call if you have any questions or need clarification:

This bill directs the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin (UW) System to ensure that ~~resident~~ admitted students who are U.S. military veterans, or current members of the U.S. military, or spouses or children of veterans receiving federal or state tuition remission benefits are given priority in registering for courses at any UW campus.

VETERANS AND MILITARY; PRIORITY REGISTRATION. (a) In this subsection, "veteran" means a person who has served, or is currently serving, on active duty under honorable conditions in the U.S. armed forces, in forces incorporated as part of the U.S. armed forces, in the national guard, or in a reserve component of the U.S. armed forces.

(b) The board shall ensure that ~~a resident~~ an admitted student who is a U.S. military veteran, or current members of the U.S. military, or spouse or child of veterans receiving federal or state tuition remission benefits, is given priority in registering for courses at any college campus or institution, consistent with the institutional practices for other priority registration population.

Section 2...



State of Wisconsin
2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE

Monday
(pm)



LRB-1044/1

PG:sac:ph

LRB 0059/1

PG:sac:

A. SUBST. AMDT. —
TO 2013 ASSEMBLY BILL 201

May 15, 2013 - Introduced by Representatives HESSELBEIN, NASS, BARCA, BARNES, BERCEAU, BERNIER, BIES, BORN, BROOKS, CLARK, CZAJA, GOYKE, HEBL, HULSEY, JAGLER, JORGENSEN, KAHL, KLEEFISCH, KOLSTE, KOYENGA, T. LARSON, MILROY, OHNSTAD, PRIDEMORE, SARGENT, SHANKLAND, SINICKI, SMITH, C. TAYLOR, THIESFELDT, TITTL, WRIGHT and ZEPNICK, cosponsored by Senators CARPENTER, T. CULLEN, ELLIS, ERPENBACH, HARRIS, LASSA, SCHULTZ and WIRCH. Referred to Committee on Colleges and Universities.

LPS: please
make marked
changes to topic
on request sheet

and members of
the armed forces

gen cat

- 1 AN ACT to create 36.11 (47m) of the statutes; relating to: giving certain veterans
2 priority in registering for courses at the University of Wisconsin System.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

or members of the
U.S. armed forces

This bill directs the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin (UW) System to ensure that resident students who are veterans are given priority in registering for courses at any UW campus.

substitute
amendment

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- 3 SECTION 1. 36.11 (47m) of the statutes is created to read:

service member

- 4 36.11 (47m) VETERANS; PRIORITY REGISTRATION. (a) In this subsection, "veteran"

SERVICE MEMBERS

- 5 means a person who has served on active duty under honorable conditions in the U.S.

or is serving

- 6 armed forces, in forces incorporated as part of the U.S. armed forces, in the national
7 guard, or in a reserve component of the U.S. armed forces.

service member

- 8 (b) The board shall ensure that a resident student who is a veteran is given
9 priority in registering for courses at any college campus or institution.

4 (END)